

DIN CWA 16649:2013-10 (E)

Managing emerging technology-related risks; English version CWA 16649:2013

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	7
Introduction	8
Acknowledgements	9
1 Scope	11
2 Normative references	12
3 Terms and definitions	12
4 Symbols and abbreviations	19
5 Emerging risks	21
5.1 Emerging risks, innovation and engineering	21
5.2 Emerging risks definition	22
5.3 Extending and implementing the definition in ERMF	23
5.4 Scales, classes and levels of emerging risks	25
5.5 Maturation of emerging risks	29
6 Requirements	30
6.1 General requirements	30
6.2 Requirements resulting from iNTeg-Risk project	31
6.3 Implementation related requirements	32
7 ERMF – Emerging risk management framework	33
7.1 Main principles of ERMF	33
7.2 The 10 steps of ERMF	34
7.3 Comparing the iNTeg-Risk ERMF with general risk management frameworks	43
7.4 Details on implementing the ERMF	46
8 Concluding remarks	48
Annex A (informative) Application case for new technologies	49
A.1 Introduction	49
A.2 Specific topic and example case	50
A.2.1 General remarks/overview related to the specific topic	50
A.2.2 Introducing the example case - Unconventional gas	50
A.3 Procedure for management of emerging risks	51
A.3.1 Horizon screening	51
A.3.2 Pre-Assessment	52
A.3.3 Appraisal/Assessment	58
A.3.4 Continuous activities	66
A.4 Conclusions/Summary	67
A.5 Example – Use of new technologies for unconventional gas	67
A.5.1 Hydraulic fracturing	67
Annex B (informative) Emerging risks in new materials and products	72
B.1 Introduction	72
B.2 Specific topic and example case	73
B.2.1 General remarks/overview related to the specific topic	73
B.3 Procedure for management of emerging risks	73
B.3.1 Horizon screening	73
B.3.2 Pre-Assessment	74
B.3.3 Appraisal/Assessment	77
B.3.4 Continuous activities	80
B.4 Conclusions/Summary	81
B.5 Example - Carbon nanotubes	82

B.5.1	Introduction.....	82
B.5.2	Lack of standardized physical and chemical information	83
B.5.3	Fibrous nanomaterials emerging risk	83
Annex C	(informative) Emerging risks in new production and production networks	85
C.1	Introduction.....	85
C.2	Specific topic and example case	86
C.2.1	General remarks/overview related to the specific topic.....	86
C.2.2	Introducing the example case - Drilling in Arctic.....	86
C.3	Procedure for management of emerging risks	87
C.3.1	Horizon Screening.....	87
C.3.2	Pre-Assessment	88
C.3.3	Appraisal/Assessment	90
C.3.4	Continuous activities	93
C.4	Conclusions/Summary.....	94
C.5	Example – Drilling in Arctic.....	94
C.5.1	Introduction.....	94
C.5.2	Needs and opportunities	95
C.5.3	Status of offshore petroleum activity in the Arctic	96
Annex D	(informative) Emerging risk policies	97
D.1	Introduction.....	97
D.2	Specific topic and example case	98
D.2.1	General remarks/overview related to the specific topic.....	98
D.2.2	Introducing the example case - Nano-regulation.....	99
D.3	Process for management of emerging risks	101
D.3.1	Horizon screening	101
D.3.2	Pre-Assessment	101
D.3.3	Appraisal/Assessment	103
D.3.4	Continuous activities	105
D.4	Conclusions/Summary.....	106
Annex E	(informative) Emerging risks due to uncertainties in measurement and characterization.....	107
E.1	Introduction.....	107
E.2	Specific topic and example case	108
E.2.1	General remarks/overview related to the specific topic.....	108
E.2.2	Introducing the example case extreme storage of hazardous materials	109
E.3	Procedure for management of emerging risks	109
E.3.1	Horizon screening	109
E.3.2	Pre-Assessment	110
E.3.3	Appraisal/Assessment	113
E.3.4	Continuous Activities.....	116
E.4	Conclusions/Summary.....	117
E.5	Example – Extreme storage of hazardous materials	118
Annex F	(informative) Examples of factors which can influence the emerging character of a risk - the 50 iNTeg-Risk factors of emergence.....	120
F.1	Introduction.....	120
F.2	Factors using generic scale	121
F.3	Factors using specific/customized scale.....	124
Annex G	(informative) Used Tools	129
G.1	RiskEars	129
G.2	Risk Tweet.....	130
G.2.1	Activity.....	131
G.2.2	Trend.....	131
G.2.3	Criticality	131
G.3	S-RDI tool	131
G.4	Agent based modeling.....	132
Annex H	(informative) Sample list of emerging risks.....	133
	Bibliography.....	138

Figures

Figure 1 — Relations among the informative Annexes and the main document	11
Figure 2 — Emergence as 3 rd dimension of emerging risks.....	24
Figure 3 — General understanding of scales, classes and levels as applied to emerging risks in this document	25
Figure 4 — Threat-opportunity based representation, combined with emerging character (emergence) of risks (threat and opportunity)	26
Figure 5 — Example of use classes of benefits, likelihood and levels of risk (opportunity).....	28
Figure 6 — Maturation of emerging risk through accumulation of knowledge	29
Figure 7 — Example of three different maturation paths for emerging risks: archived or back-listed notion (C), emerging risk which have achieved stable (mature) status (B), and risks still emerging (A)	30
Figure 8 — Organization of iNTeg-Risk deliverables leading to this CEN WS document.....	34
Figure 9 — The 10 steps of the ERMF	35
Figure 10 — Main distinctive characteristics of the ERMF process	43
Figure 11 — Using ISO 31000 and IRGC frameworks as a base for creating iNTeg-Risk ERMF	45
Figure 12 — Details on the implementation of the ERMF applicable in certain contexts.....	46
Figure 13 — Example of process for management of emerging risks based on ERMF and illustration of the maturation of emerging risks	47
Figure A.1 — Registration of warning/notion in iNTeg-Risk RiskEars.....	51
Figure A.2 — Risk Tweet, with collected information on tweets related to fracking from Twitter service	52
Figure A.3 — Risk/benefit perception for fracking	53
Figure A.4 — Example of Step 2: NTA model for fracking in case of (Lack of acceptance in) Germany (linked to media reports).....	54
Figure A.5 — Example of Step 2: NTA model for fracking case depicting large public dissatisfaction that can be compared to current hydraulic fracturing related problem in Basque Country [21].....	55
Figure A.6 — Risk Story from RiskEars (describing an emerging risk scenario) about contamination of underground water for fracking topic.....	56
Figure A.7 — Example of Step 4: Extract from the pre-assessment; filled pre-assessment for contamination of (surface and) underground water for unconventional gas example in form of the slider setting from an expert considering only environmental risks of technology	57
Figure A.8 — Example of Step 5: S-RDI analysis for unconventional gas topic when comparing it with other ERRAs (using single link clustering option).....	60
Figure A.9 — Example of Step 5: C-RDI analysis from DataEngine software of contamination of (surface and) underground water for unconventional gas	61

Figure A.10 — Example of Step 6: Characterization of contamination of (surface and) underground water scenario of unconventional gas.....	62
Figure A.11 — Example for five unconventional gas scenarios MCDM analysis based on 12 IRGC factors (for more information about factors please see Table F.4)	64
Figure A.12 — Graphical representation of shale gas resources in Germany overlapping with natural protected areas in Baden-Württemberg (shown red) from iNTeg-Risk RiskAtlas.....	65
Figure A.13 — Process of hydraulic fracturing [30].....	68
Figure A.14 — Projections of global mean surface temperatures for six SRES non-mitigation scenarios as presented by IPCC AR4 and the year 2000 constant concentration experiment [14]	69
Figure A.15 — River system in US (left), locations of major drilling campaigns in US (right) [36].....	69
Figure A.16 — Employment in various US industries and natural gas prices at major global market [38].....	70
Figure A.17 — Major unconventional natural gas resources in Europe [29].....	70
Figure A.18 — Map of 48 major shale gas basins in 32 countries [25].....	71
Figure B.1 — Image of asbestos fibres similar to fibrous nanomaterials.	83
Figure C.1 — Geographical location of the Arctic Sea [92].....	95
Figure G.1 — Overview of notions (RiskSparks, with notions included in watch list and copy list), ERIs, ERRAs and Super ERRAs	129
Figure G.2 — Reference to Google trends results for geomagnetic storms	130
Figure G.3 — MACD	131

Tables

Table 1 — Example of Color-code for scoring emerging risks (and opportunity)	27
Table 2 — Example of Color-code for the status in the (pre)assessment process.....	27
Table 3 — Examples of likelihood scale – 5 classes.....	27
Table 4 — Examples of negative impacts (consequences) scale – 5 classes.....	28
Table 5 — Short description of 10 steps of the ERMF	35
Table 6 — Extended description of the 10 steps of the ERMF	36
Table 7 — Profile of involvement of the stakeholders at different levels of governance for a hypothetical example of an emerging risk (the colors indicate the level of concern; concern primarily at national level)	48
Table A.1 — List of ERRAs considered in iNTeg-Risk project.....	58
Table A.2 — List of 50 iNTeg-Risk factors	63
Table F.1 — Generic scale for classes of emergence for emerging risks.....	120

Table F.2 — Examples of factors which can influence the emerging character (emergence) of emerging risks 121

Table F.3 — Examples of factors which can influence the emerging character (emergence) of emerging risks 124

Table F.4 — The 12 IRGC Factors 127

Table H.1 — Sample list of emerging risks..... 133